

## Justification of Formula for Determining Minimum Rank Sum in Mann Whitney Test

In the SQA AH Statistics course, we conduct a Mann-Whitney test for non-paired data with two samples, with sample sizes  $m$  and  $n$ , where  $m \leq n$ . We calculate the rank sum of the group of size  $m$ , and compare its value to the critical values listed in Table 8 of the AH Statistics 'Statistical Tables and Formulae' booklet. However, the value of the rank sum is dependent upon whether the data is ranked from 1 to  $(m+n)$  taking the lowest value to be rank 1, and highest value to be rank  $(m+n)$  or whether rank 1 is the highest value and rank  $(m+n)$  is the lowest value.

### Numerical Example.

Consider two samples A and B, of sizes  $m = 2$  and  $n = 3$  respectively, ranked from lowest to highest value:

sample	A	B	A	B	B
Rank (low to high)	1	2	3	4	5

giving  $W_A = 1 + 3 = 4$

Now, if the same data had been ranked in the reverse order (from highest to lowest) it would have looked like this:

sample	A	B	A	B	B
Rank (high to low)	5	4	3	2	1

giving  $W_A = 5 + 3 = 8$

The tables in the SQA AH Statistics booklet are set up to use the **smallest** rank sum from these two possible ranking choices.

So, if you had worked out  $W_A = 8$ , you would have to also check whether ranking in the reverse order would give you a lower rank sum. This can either be done by manually re-ranking the data (as done above) and checking, or using the formula stated in the Data Booklet of  $m(m+n+1) - W_A$ .

Therefore, the formula is just a shortcut to the manual re-ranking process, and in our numerical example it gives  $2(2+3+1) - W_A = 12 - 8 = 4$ . Notice that when the ranks were reversed from 'low-to-high', to 'high-to-low', each new rank =  $6 - \text{old rank}$ . This 6 came from  $m+n+1$ .

### General Proof

Consider a group of  $m$  values ranked in order, from 'low-to-high'.

Let these rank values be  $r_1, r_2, \dots, r_{m-1}, r_m$

$$\text{So, } W_m = \sum_{i=1}^m r_i$$

If these values are then ranked in reverse order from 'high-to-low', each  $r_i$  now becomes  $(m+n+1) - r_i$

Then,

$$\begin{aligned}
 W_m &= \sum_{i=1}^m [(m+n+1) - r_i] \\
 &= \sum_{i=1}^m (m+n+1) - \sum_{i=1}^m r_i \\
 &= (m+n+1) \sum_{i=1}^m 1 - \sum_{i=1}^m r_i \\
 &= m(m+n+1) - \sum_{i=1}^m r_i
 \end{aligned}$$

And so, the value of  $W$  that is used is the minimum of  $W_m$  and  $m(m+n+1) - W_m$ .